

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/737,372	12/16/2003	Glenn Gearhart	ACA1.PAU.02 5372		
23386 MYFRS DAW	23386 7590 09/12/2007 MYERS DAWES ANDRAS & SHERMAN, LLP		EXAMINER		
19900 MACARTHUR BLVD.,			BAUM, RONALD		
SUITE 1150 IRVINE, CA 9	2612		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2136		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		·				
Office Action Summary		Application	No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/737,372	•	GEARHART, GLENN		
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		Ronald Baum		2136		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	ppears on the co	ver sheet with the	correspondence address		
VVHI(- Exte after - If NC - Faill Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS 1.136(a). In no event, and will apply and will ex ute, cause the applicat	COMMUNICATIO however, may a reply be to pire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONI	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	December 2003	<u>3</u> .	•		
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quay	e, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consi				
Applicat	ion Papers					
•	The specification is objected to by the Examir					
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 December 2003</u> is	•	•	•		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	_				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prince application from the International Bures See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been rents have been reiority documents au (PCT Rule 1	eceived. eceived in Applicat s have been receiv 7.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage		
Attachmer	it(s)					
1) 🛛 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	Interview Summar			
3) 🛛 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 20031216.		Paper No(s)/Mail D Notice of Informal I Other:			

Art Unit: 2136

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is in reply to applicant's correspondence of 16 December 2003.
- 2. Claims 1-7 are pending for examination.
- 3. Claims 1-7 are rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. Claims 1 and 2-7 (by dependency) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the disclosed invention is inoperative and therefore lacks utility. The rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite, as detailed below provides the analogous basis for the 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Appropriate correction is required.

Regarding claims 1 and 2-7 (by dependency), the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

The term(s) "can", "can be", "the *power*" and "may be" in claims 1 and 2-7 (by dependency) are relative term(s) that renders the claim indefinite. The term(s) "can", "can be", "the *power*" and "may be" are not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Claims 1 and 2-7 (by dependency) are objected to because of the following informalities: the incorrect "." after the phrase "recipient client", and the "a the computer" are typographical errors requiring correction in claim 1, limitation "d)", and "anywhere in the worlds" in claim 1, limitation "e)".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jones et al, U.S. Patent No. 5,623,637.
- 7. As per claim 1; "An intelligent digital secure lock box and access key distribution system (DLB), comprising:
 - a) A source computer or digital device

which includes within the device

one or more digital lock boxes which

Art Unit: 2136

can be secured with encryption and

within the lock boxes are

one of more digital access codes or keys [Abstract, figures 1-3 and associated descriptions, col. 1,lines 59-col. 2,line 58, whereas the detachable memory/smartcard with associated storage (i.e., secure lock box) and encryption logic (i.e., digital lock boxes secured with encryption), and access controlled storage access to said storage contents (i.e., digital access codes or keys) via a programmable secret/cryptographic (i.e., PKI based) key, clearly encompasses the claimed limitations as broadly interpreted by the examiner.];

b) One or more recipient clients

at receiving computers or digital devices which

can receive one of more digital lock boxes and

with a provided encryption access key to the delivered lock boxes

such that the recipient client

can obtain access to

the contents of a delivered secure digital lock boxes

[Abstract, figures 1-3 and associated descriptions, col. 1,lines 59col. 2,line 58, whereas the detachable memory/smartcard with
associated storage, encryption logic, and associated interfacing to
configured digital devices (i.e., recipient clients of associated
network) with access to said storage contents (i.e., digital access

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/737,372

Art Unit: 2136

codes or keys) via a programmable secret/cryptographic (i.e., PKI based encryption access key) key, clearly encompasses the claimed limitations as broadly interpreted by the examiner.];

c) One or more removable storage devices (RSD) or digital media storage devices,

such as

a Flash USB drive,

a CD,

a DVD,

a computer diskette or

other media device,

can be used

to provide

optional programmability,

portability and

off-line storage, and

back-up storage capabilities

to one or more lock boxes [Abstract, figures 1-3 and associated descriptions, col. 1,lines 59-col. 2,line 58, whereas the detachable memory/smartcard with associated storage, encryption logic, and associated interfacing to configured digital devices with access to said storage contents of said smartcard that is in itself a removable storage device providing portability,

Art Unit: 2136

programmability, etc., clearly encompasses the claimed limitations as broadly interpreted by the examiner.]; and

d) Where the digital lock box

can be encrypted and

stored on

a the computer hard drive,

a removable digital storage media or

delivered digitally to

a designated distribution computer and

a ultimate recipient client [Abstract, figures 1-3 and associated descriptions, col. 1,lines 59-col. 2,line 58, whereas the detachable memory/smartcard with associated storage, encryption logic, and associated interfacing to configured digital devices with access to said storage contents of said smartcard that is in itself a removable storage device providing portability, programmability, whereas the smartcard secured storage contents (i.e., digital lock box stored contents) are transferable to associated network components (i.e., delivered digitally to ultimate recipient client), clearly encompasses the claimed limitations as broadly interpreted by the examiner.].

e) Where the digital lock box

can become

a personal item which the individual carries with him or her with all of his or her secure access codes and passwords

Art Unit: 2136

allowing the individual

the power and the capability to quickly and easily

setup his access to e-mail accounts,

secure areas on web pages

open on-line banking and

other password or access key activities

from any computer anywhere in the worlds [Abstract, figures 1-3 and associated descriptions, col. 1,lines 59-col. 2,line 58, whereas the detachable (i.e., personal item which the individual carries with him or her) memory/smartcard with associated storage, encryption logic, and associated interfacing to configured digital devices with access to said storage contents of said smartcard that is in itself a removable storage device providing portability, programmability, whereas the smartcard secured storage contents (i.e., digital lock box stored contents, such as electronic wallet/on-line banking oriented applications) are transferable to associated network components (i.e., delivered digitally to ultimate recipient client at any computer in the world), clearly encompasses the claimed limitations as broadly interpreted by the examiner.].".

8. Claim 2 *additionally recites* the limitations that; "Wherein the contents of the digital lock box defined in claim 1 includes

digital access codes and

Art Unit: 2136

textual or digital imagery associated therewith.".

The teachings of Jones et al (Abstract, figures 1-3 and associated descriptions, col. 1,lines 59-col. 2,line 58, whereas the detachable memory/smartcard with associated storage, encryption logic, and associated interfacing to configured digital devices with access to said storage contents (i.e., digital access codes, keys, certificate/digital wallet information 'textual or digital imagery') via a programmable secret/cryptographic (i.e., PKI based encryption access key) key, clearly encompasses the claimed limitations as broadly interpreted by the examiner.) suggest such limitations.

9. Claim 3 *additionally recites* the limitations that; "Wherein the digital access codes defined in claim 1

can be manually and electronically generated:

encryption access keys,

pin numbers,

pass words,

account numbers,

ID numbers, and

associated types of

access codes and

sensitive, confidential or trade secret

codes or

ID data.".

Art Unit: 2136

The teachings of Jones et al (Abstract, figures 1-3 and associated descriptions, col. 1,lines 59-col. 2,line 58, whereas the detachable memory/smartcard with associated storage, encryption logic, and associated interfacing to configured digital devices with access to said storage contents (i.e., digital access codes, keys, certificate/digital wallet information 'textual or digital imagery', etc., is manually/electronically generated encryption access keys, confidential information per se) via a programmable secret/cryptographic (i.e., PKI based encryption access key) key, clearly encompasses the claimed limitations as broadly interpreted by the examiner.) suggest such limitations.

10. Claim 4 additionally recites the limitations that; "Wherein

the delivery of the encryption access key to

a delivered lock box defined in claim 1

can be by various means of delivery and

the delivered encryption access key

may open more than

just a single digital lock box.".

The teachings of Jones et al (Abstract, figures 1-3 and associated descriptions, col. 1,lines 59-col. 2,line 58, whereas the detachable memory/smartcard with associated storage, encryption logic, and associated interfacing to configured digital devices with access to said storage contents (i.e., digital access codes, keys, certificate/digital wallet information 'textual or digital imagery', etc., is manually/electronically generated/delivered encryption access keys, confidential information per se) via a programmable secret/cryptographic (i.e., PKI based encryption access key) key,

Art Unit: 2136

whereas the smartcard secured storage contents (i.e., digital lock box stored contents) are transferable to associated network components (i.e., delivered digitally to ultimate recipient client or clients across the network), clearly encompasses the claimed limitations as broadly interpreted by the examiner.) suggest such limitations.

11. Claim 5 *additionally recites* the limitations that; "Wherein the user of the lock box defined in claim 1 *has the capabilities*

to

input,

edit,

copy, and

delete

the digital access codes

stored in the digital lock box and

to

input,

edit,

copy, and

delete

the textual or digital imagery

associated therewith.".

Art Unit: 2136

The teachings of Jones et al (Abstract, figures 1-3 and associated descriptions, col. 1,lines 59-col. 2,line 58, whereas the detachable memory/smartcard with associated storage, encryption logic, and associated interfacing to configured digital devices with access to said storage contents (i.e., digital access codes, keys, certificate/digital wallet information 'textual or digital imagery', etc., is manually/electronically generated encryption access keys, confidential information per se) via a programmable secret/cryptographic (i.e., PKI based encryption access key) key, clearly encompasses the claimed limitations as broadly interpreted by the examiner.) suggest such limitations.

Page 11

12. Claim 6 *additionally recites* the limitations that; "Wherein the user of the lock box defined in claim 1 *has the capabilities*

to use the device in

a stand alone,

single

computer or

digital device configuration or

as part of a configuration that includes

a network of

computers and

digital devices.".

The teachings of Jones et al (Abstract, figures 1-3 and associated descriptions, col. 1,lines 59-col. 2,line 58, whereas the detachable memory/smartcard with associated storage, encryption logic,

Page 12

Art Unit: 2136

and associated interfacing to configured digital devices with access to said storage contents (i.e., digital access codes, keys, certificate/digital wallet information 'textual or digital imagery', etc., is manually/electronically generated/delivered encryption access keys, confidential information per se) via a programmable secret/cryptographic (i.e., PKI based encryption access key) key, whereas the smartcard secured storage contents (i.e., digital lock box stored contents) are transferable to associated network components (i.e., delivered digitally to ultimate recipient client or clients across the network), clearly encompasses the claimed limitations as broadly interpreted by the examiner.) suggest such limitations.

13. Claim 7 *additionally recites* the limitations that; "Wherein the user of the lock box defined in claim 1 *has the capabilities*

to use the device in

a direct user present at

the computer or

digital device configuration or

as part of remote access configuration which

may include

wireline,

wireless or

other modes of communications.".

The teachings of Jones et al (Abstract, figures 1-3 and associated descriptions, col. 1,lines 59-col. 2,line 58, whereas the detachable memory/smartcard with associated storage, encryption logic,

Art Unit: 2136

and associated interfacing to configured digital devices with access to said storage contents (i.e., user digital access codes, keys, certificate/digital wallet information 'textual or digital imagery', etc., is manually/electronically generated/delivered encryption access keys, confidential information per se) via a programmable secret/cryptographic (i.e., PKI based encryption access key) key, whereas the smartcard secured storage contents (i.e., digital lock box stored contents) are transferable to associated network components (i.e., delivered digitally to ultimate recipient client or clients remotely across the network), clearly encompasses the claimed limitations as broadly interpreted by the examiner.) suggest such limitations.

Art Unit: 2136

Conclusion

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from examiner should be directed to Ronald Baum, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3861, and whose unofficial Fax number is (571) 273-3861 and unofficial email is Ronald.baum@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nasser Moazzami, can be reached at (571) 272-4195. The Fax number for the organization where this application is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. For more information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ronald Baum

Patent Examiner

NASSER MOAZZAMI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100**

9,10,07